Downwind control of oceanic air by land: the land wake and its sensitivity to CO$_2$
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ABSTRACT

Oceans are well-known to be directly altered by global climate forcings such as greenhouse gas changes, but how oceans are indirectly influenced by land and its response to such forcings remains less explored. Here, we assess the present-day and projected future state of a little-explored feature of the climate system – a “land wake” in relative humidity downwind of the east coast of North America, consisting of low-humidity continental air extending roughly 1,000 km over the Atlantic ocean. The wake exists throughout the year, but is supported by high continental temperatures in summer and low continental moisture in winter. The wake is well represented in an ensemble of global climate models (GCMs), qualitatively matching reanalysis data. Under increasing atmospheric CO$_2$, the land wake intensifies in GCM simulations through two pathways: the radiative effects of CO$_2$ on surface temperatures, and the biogeochemical effect of CO$_2$ on terrestrial vegetation. Vegetation responses to increased CO$_2$ alter the summer wake from Florida to Newfoundland, and both the radiative and biogeochemical effects of CO$_2$ drive reductions in coastal cloud cover. These changes illustrate the potential of rapidly changing terrestrial climate to influence coastal regions and the ocean environment downwind of continents through both light conditions and the energy balance of the surface ocean.

1 Introduction

Differences in the surface properties of ocean and land produce large asymmetries in the interaction of these two components of the climate system with the overlying atmosphere. Oceans have a large heat capacity and slow dynamics (Cess and Goldenberg, 1981; North et al., 1983), which allow years-long variations in sea surface temperature to drive atmospheric circulations that influence climate in remote continental regions (Myneni et al., 1996; Perry et al., 2017; Yang and Delsole, 2012). Land lacks sufficient heat capacity to create such persistent thermal forcings, but continents alter the atmosphere mechanically, e.g. by generating orographic stationary waves that dominate the northern hemisphere circulation in winter (Cook and Held, 1992; Held et al., 2002; Maroon et al., 2015; White et al., 2017), and by generating seasonal thermal forcings such as those that drive
cross-equatorial monsoon circulations (Boos and Kuang, 2013; Li and Yanai, 1996; Wu et al., 2012). In this study, we quantify and explore the mechanisms governing a little-explored feature of the climate system – a “land wake” consisting of maritime air that has been perturbed by the passage of prevailing winds over a continent.

We are motivated by the fact that land and ocean influence each other by serving as sources for tracers that are carried by winds. Oceans serve as the primary source of moisture and of pristine, unpolluted air for continents (Parrish and Hahn, 1992; Robertson et al., 2016). Past studies have examined how continental air masses that are transported over ocean transform the aerosol content of the marine boundary layer (Fu et al., 2018; Fuchs et al., 2017), influencing cloud properties over ocean (Fuchs et al., 2017; Garrett and Hobbs, 1995). Seasonal differences in high-latitude cold air outbreaks impact the seasonality of aerosol nucleation (Nilsson et al., 2001). Outbreaks of dry and dusty air from the Sahara have been shown to episodically alter the thermal structure and likelihood of tropical cyclogenesis over the Atlantic (Carlson and Prospero, 1972). As polar continental air transitions to polar maritime air, its moisture content increases due to ocean evaporation, altering both the temperature and moisture content of the air mass (Burke, 1945). Movement of air masses between continental and maritime regions is responsible for numerous weather phenomenon including cold air outbreaks and high-latitude cyclogenesis (Businger and Reed, 1989; Pithan et al., 2018). The larger diurnal temperature cycle of land drives land-sea breezes (Gannon, 1978; Gille et al., 2005; Sonu et al., 1973).

The fact that source regions, such as continents, can imprint themselves on the thermodynamic state of air motivated Bergeron’s widely used air mass classification system (?) and its modifications, e.g. for the Americas (?). Such systems generally classify air as being either continental or maritime in origin, and also polar or tropical in origin, motivated by the recognition that transient extratropical cyclones mix air meridionally (?). Such classification was often qualitative and subjective, but numerical objective approaches have been used (?) and secular trends in air mass characteristics have been analyzed in some limited regions (?). Nevertheless, the mechanisms by which land masses alter the seasonal cycle of downwind oceanic air remain poorly quantified, particularly in the midlatitudes where the jet stream is expected to routinely transport continental air eastward over the Atlantic and Pacific ocean basins.

Understanding the influence of land on the climatological mean state of oceanic air is particularly important given ongoing changes in continental states. Changes in the land surface alter the climate both locally and globally (Bathiany et al., 2010; Davin et al., 2010; Kooperman et al., 2017; Laguë et al., 2019); large changes in vegetation can drive atmospheric change over both land and ocean regions through atmospheric teleconnections and shifts in atmospheric circulation (Bonan, 2008; Bonan et al., 1992; Laguë and Swann, 2016; Swann et al., 2012). In terrestrial regions with strong land-atmosphere coupling, changes in soil moisture and vegetation drive changes in precipitation (Koster et al., 2006).

The low heat capacity and relative dryness of land causes land to have a large temperature response to thermal forcings, as evidenced by both the increased variance of land temperature (e.g. seasonally) and the amplified response of land to heating from increased atmospheric CO$_2$ (Chadwick et al., 2019; Fu and Feng, 2014; Hartmann, 2015; Sutton et al., 2007). While increased atmospheric CO$_2$ over oceans decreases outgoing longwave radiation there, CO$_2$ increases over land lead
to circulation responses which move atmospheric energy from continental to oceanic regions (Shaw and Voigt, 2016). Plant responses to CO₂, changes in soil moisture, growing season timing and length changes, and changes in snow pack lead to further amplification of continental warming with increased atmospheric CO₂ (Campbell et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2009; Ipcc, 2022).

Here we ask how land influences the seasonal mean state of oceanic air downwind of a continent, using the particular case of the Atlantic Ocean east of North America; this coastal region is home to numerous large population centers and regions of high marine productivity. We examine this remote influence of land in the present climate and in projections of changes resulting from the distinct impacts of CO₂ on atmospheric radiation and on plant physiology.

2 Methods

2.1 The land wake

We define the “land wake” $W_x$ of some variable $x$ as the deviation from the zonal mean value of $x$ of air over the ocean ($[x_{ocean}]$) at a given latitude (equation 1).

$$W_x = x - [x_{ocean}]$$ (1)

$W_x$ can be calculated globally or for a single ocean basin, at any atmospheric level. We focus on the near-surface wake averaged from 1000-900 hPa (the precise shape of the wake varies depending on the vertical levels selected), downwind of mid-latitude North America, where $[x_{ocean}]$ is averaged longitudinally over the Atlantic ocean, defined here to be the ocean region between 100°W-0°W and 20°N-70°N. $W_x < 0$ indicates $x$ at that location is smaller than the average oceanic value of $x$ for that latitude, while $W_x > 0$ indicates $x$ is larger than the average oceanic value of $x$ for that latitude. In this study, we focus on the wakes of relative humidity ($W_{RH}$), specific humidity ($W_Q$), and temperature ($W_T$).

2.2 Climate data

We analyse the land wake using a combination of reanalysis data and climate model output. We use the ERA5 reanalysis global dataset at 0.25° resolution, which spans from 1979-2019 (Hersbach et al., 2020). Specifically, we use the ERA5 variables for: relative humidity ($RH$), specific humidity ($q$), temperature ($T$), and winds ($U$, $V$).

We also evaluate the wake in Earth system models under low and high atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Increased atmospheric CO₂ has both radiative and biological impacts. These effects are isolated in a pair of experiments from the Coupled Climate-Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project (C4MIP (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2016)). In “1pctCO2-bgc”, only the biogeochemical (bgc) components of the models experience CO₂ increasing at 1% per year from pre-industrial values (280 ppm), while the atmosphere experiences constant pre-industrial CO₂. In “1pctCO2-rad”, only the atmospheric radiation experiences increasing CO₂ (at 1% per year), while the biogeochemical components of the model experience fixed pre-industrial CO₂. We also consider simulations from the “1pctCO2” experiment from the Coupled Model
Intercomparison Project v. 6 (Eyring et al., 2016), where both radiation and biogeochemistry experience the increase in CO\textsubscript{2}. Simulations are run for 140 years, at which point CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations reach 4x pre-industrial levels (Fig. S1). We refer to the increase in CO\textsubscript{2} experienced by the biogeochemistry as bgcCO\textsubscript{2}, the increase in CO\textsubscript{2} experienced by the atmospheric radiation as radCO\textsubscript{2}, and use fullCO\textsubscript{2} to refer to simulations where both biogeochemistry and radiation experience increased CO\textsubscript{2}.

Both the terrestrial and oceanic carbon cycles experience the increase in bgcCO\textsubscript{2}, but impacts on surface fluxes are only driven by the response of terrestrial vegetation to increased CO\textsubscript{2}. The bgcCO\textsubscript{2} simulations thus provide a clear demonstration of changes in land driving changing conditions over the ocean in the land wake. At higher CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations, plants can grow more (CO\textsubscript{2} fertilization; (Field et al., 1995; Medlyn et al., 2001; Morison, 2001; Sellers et al., 1996)), altering leaf area and land albedo, in turn leading to changes in surface temperatures and evapotranspiration (Donohue et al., 2013; Swann et al., 2016; Zarakas et al., 2020a). Atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations directly impact the stomatal conductance of vegetation. In global Earth system models, the equations governing stomatal conductance generate less conductance (thus less evapotranspiration, with all else held equal) with increased atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations (Ball et al., 1987; Medlyn et al., 2011), changing plants’ water use efficiency (Cheng et al., 2014; Eamus, 1991).

The effect of the biogeochemical response to increased CO\textsubscript{2} could be obtained by considering results from high-low CO\textsubscript{2} values in the bgcCO\textsubscript{2} simulations, or by subtracting the radCO\textsubscript{2} simulation from the fullCO\textsubscript{2} simulation. The first gives the effect of increasing bgcCO\textsubscript{2} in a low-CO\textsubscript{2} climate, while the latter gives the effect of increasing bgcCO\textsubscript{2} in a high-CO\textsubscript{2} climate; we focus on the first approach in this study, but show that the two methods give generally qualitatively similar responses of the land wake.

We use the 9 models participating in C4MIP that provided temperature, specific humidity, and relative humidity data for the 1pctCO\textsubscript{2}-bgc and 1pctCO\textsubscript{2}-rad C4MIP experiments and were available for download from the LLNL ESGF node (https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/cmip6/) on August 11, 2021 (table 1). These models were BCC-CSM2-MR, CanESM5, CNRM-ESM2-1, ACCESS-ESM1-5, IPSL-CM6A-LR, MIROC-ES2L, UKESM1-0-LL, MPI-ESM1-2-LR, and CESM2. Cloud cover data was available for all models except CanESM5, so low cloud and downwelling solar radiation are evaluated for the remaining 8 models only; snow cover and leaf area changes are shown for all models except CanESM5 and BCC-CESM2-MR as neither model had both variables available. Where possible, the r1i1p1f1 ensemble member was used, otherwise the r1i1p1f2 ensemble member was used; only a single ensemble member per model was considered. All output was re-gridded to a common 1° resolution horizontal grid. For each field considered, we took the difference of the average of years 121-140 (high CO\textsubscript{2}) and subtracted the average of years 1-20 (low CO\textsubscript{2}).

### 2.3 Wake magnitude

To compare changes in the RH wake in the C4MIP simulations with increased bgcCO\textsubscript{2} and radCO\textsubscript{2}, we define the “magnitude” $M$ of the wake as the area-weighted sum of the spatial footprint of the Atlantic RH wake, in this case, anywhere RH< 0,
Table 1. C4MIP model simulations used in this study, with data downloaded from the LLNL ESGF node (https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/cmip6/) on August 11, 2021. Variables used are: downwelling shortwave radiation (rsds), cloud fraction (cl), winds (ua, va), atmospheric temperature (ta), specific humidity (hus), and relative humidity (hur).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling center</th>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>1pctCO2-bgc ensemble member</th>
<th>1pctCO2-rad ensemble member</th>
<th>Variables assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beijing Climate Center (BCC)</td>
<td>BCC-CSM2-MR CanESM5</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1 r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1 r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, ua, va, ta, hus, hur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis (CC-Cma)</td>
<td>CanESM5</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre National de Reserches Météorologiques (CNRM-CERFACS)</td>
<td>CNRM-ESM2-1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO)</td>
<td>ACCESS-ESM1-5</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL)</td>
<td>IPSL-CM6A-LR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC)</td>
<td>MIROC-ES2L</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (MPI-M)</td>
<td>MPI-ESM1-2-LR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met Office Hadley Centre (MOHC)</td>
<td>UKESM1-0-LL</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)</td>
<td>CESM2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>rsds, cl, ua, va, ta, hus, hur, snw, tlai</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

multiplied by the intensity of the wake $W_{RH}$, where $W_{RH}$ is how negative $RH$ is at each location:

$$M_{RH, Atlantic} = \int_{25W}^{65W} \int_{05N}^{65N} \left( \cos \phi W_{RH} \right) \left| W_{RH} < 0 \right| d\phi d\lambda,$$

(2)

where $\phi$ is the latitude. $M_{RH, Atlantic}$ is calculated using $W_{RH}$ in the domain 25-65°N and 105-25°W, only where $W_{RH}$ is negative.

We take the difference of the average monthly $M_{RH, Atlantic}$ of years 121-140 (high bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$) minus the average monthly $M_{RH, Atlantic}$ of years 1-20 (low bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$) for the 1pctCO2-bgc and 1pctCO2-rad simulations, respectively.

We similarly calculate the change in continental RH (averaged over land areas from 1000 to 900 hPa, between 25-65°N and 125-55°W) for each month of the year, then take seasonal averages. The wake area changes slightly with increasing bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$ (Table S1), but most of the change in the wake magnitude comes from magnitude changes within the climatological wake footprint.

2.4 Wake intensity

To evaluate the individual contributions of $T$ and $q$ to the change in $W_{RH}$, we define an additional metric, the change in the wake “intensity” (equation 3). $I_{RH}$ is calculated in the box 25-65°N and 105-25°W, only where $\Delta W_{RH} < 0$ (see Fig. 3) for each individual model. Within that footprint, a similar approach is used as with the calculation of the magnitude $M_{RH}$, i.e. taking the
area-weighted sum of the wake values in that region (but only where the wake changes, i.e. where $\Delta W_{RH} < 0$).

$$I_{RH} = \int_{25W}^{0W} \int_{0N}^{25N} (\cos \phi W_{RH}) |\Delta W_{RH} < 0| d\phi d\lambda,$$

(3)

To separate the impact of $T$ and $q$ on $W_{RH}$, $\Delta W_{RH}$, and $I_{RH}$, we calculate $W_{RH}$ in three distinct ways. First, we calculate $W_{RH}$ in the standard manner (equation 1). Second, we calculate what the $RH$ field would be in the high CO$_2$ case if we hold $q$ fixed to the low CO$_2$ value, but increase $T$ to the high CO$_2$ value; we then calculate the wake of that new $RH$ value that only accounts for the change in $T$. Third, we calculate what the $RH$ field and $W_{RH}$ would look like with high CO$_2$ if only $q$ is increased to high CO$_2$, with $T$ held to the low CO$_2$ value. $I_{RH}$ can then be calculated within the $\Delta W_{RH}$ footprint for low CO$_2$ (using $W_{RH}$ at low CO$_2$), for $RH$ associated with low CO$_2$ $q$ and high CO$_2$ $T$, for $RH$ associated with high CO$_2$ $T$ and high CO$_2$ $q$, and for $RH$ at high CO$_2$. These terms do not add linearly as $RH$ is not a linear function of $T$ and $q$ and we approximate the effect of $\Delta T$ and $\Delta q$ on $\Delta RH$ using monthly mean $T$ and $q$ values. The actual change in $RH$ is qualitatively similar but numerically differs slightly from the change in $RH$ calculated from monthly mean $T$ and $q$ together (not shown).

2.5 Analysis tools and details

From equation 1, changes in the wake $W_x$ could occur either because of a change in the spatial pattern of $x$, or because of a change in the zonal mean of $x$ averaged over the Atlantic basin. In the case of increased bgcCO$_2$, the changes in the $RH$ wake are driven by spatial changes in $RH$ off the North American east coast, with very little change in the zonal mean value of $RH$ over the Atlantic ocean. This is not surprising, as bgcCO$_2$ primarily impacts surface energy and water fluxes over the continents; this signal is then advected over the ocean and thus is strongest in the wake region, with very little change in the average Atlantic $RH$ at each latitude (Fig. S2).

Increasing radCO$_2$ has a strong impact on the atmospheric radiative budget and surface temperatures over both land and ocean regions, causing the zonal mean Atlantic values of $T$ and $q$ to increase, but zonally averaged relative humidity over the ocean remains roughly the same (O’Gorman and Muller, 2010). Regionally however, $RH$ and the $RH$ wake become drier with increasing radCO$_2$ off the North American east coast, as a result of continental warming (Fig. S3).

Western boundary currents, such as the Gulf Stream, cause a tongue of anomalously warm water extending into cooler waters at higher latitudes along the east coast of mid-latitude continents, releasing heat and moisture to the atmosphere above it. In the context of our definition of the wake, this would raise the overall zonally averaged $RH$, $T$, and $q$ of the ocean basin. As such, air that is near the coast but has not yet reached the eastern boundary current could look anomalously cold or dry simply because it has not yet come into contact the warmer waters. The C4MIP simulations analyzed here show that the $RH$, $T$, and $q$ of the wake region change in response to increased bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$—that is, even if the wake only existed as an artifact of the eastern boundary currents, our analysis still shows the sensitivity of oceanic air in this region to terrestrial-driven changes in continental air. Changes in the location and strength of the Gulf Stream, e.g. with changing climate, could alter both the
shape and strength of the continental wake by modifying wind patterns and ocean-atmosphere exchange of heat and moisture. For example, a warmer gulf stream could potentially reduce the continental wake as increased ocean evaporation could more quickly make continental air resemble ocean air.

Analysis was conducted using the Python programming language, heavily leveraging the NumPy (Harris et al., 2020) and xarray (Hoyer and Hamman, 2017) packages.

3 Results

3.1 The present-day land wake and its causes

In reanalysis data, $W_{RH}$ downwind of mid-latitude North America is negative in both winter (December-February, DJF) and summer (June-August, JJA), becoming positive as air moves further from shore (Fig. 1a,b); that is, air near the continent has a lower relative humidity (RH) than air farther from land. The shape of the wake changes with season, but its longest dimension is generally aligned parallel to the time-mean low-level wind with a peak length of at least 1,000 km. In winter, $W_{RH}$ extends from the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico along the eastern seaboard of the USA to around 50°N, with an additional region...
of anomalously low RH around Greenland (Fig. 1a). In summer, the wake lies closer to shore along the east coast of the USA, but extends further north along the east coast of Canada and Hudson Bay, while the Greenland wake retreats towards the coast of that land mass (Fig. 1b). Eastward winds off the continent are stronger in winter, and the winter wake correspondingly extends farther from shore between 30-45°N. In contrast, the summer wake is more intense and occupies a larger fraction of the coastline of North America.

While the RH wake is apparent in both winter and summer, it is driven by different mechanisms seasonally. In winter, cold, dry air flows from the continent over ocean, producing low temperature (T) and low specific humidity (q) offshore (Fig. 2a,c). However, because saturation vapor pressure decreases rapidly as temperature drops, the low temperatures in more northern regions prevent anomalously low RH downwind of the continent. The winter wakes in T and q extend along nearly the entire coastline of North America, but their interaction limits the RH wake to latitudes south of Nova Scotia (Fig. 1a). In summer, time-mean winds along eastern North America flow more parallel to the coast due to the summertime anticyclone over the Atlantic (Fig. 1b). At more southern latitudes, q is anomalously positive due to moisture transport from the low-latitude ocean, while at more northern latitudes q is anomalously negative where there is flow off the continent (Fig. 2b). Close to the continent, air is warmer than average ocean air (Fig. 2d); as in winter, the shape of WRH results from the strong response of saturation vapor pressure to temperature, yielding low RH along the whole east coast of North America despite anomalously high q south of 40°N (Fig. 1b, 2b).

Mixing between continental and maritime regions produced by shorter timescale motions and vertical circulations is likely also a factor in determining the wake. For example, along the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico, monthly mean winds are climatologically onshore, yet a distinct band of low RH air hugs the coast. The difference in seasonal drivers of WRH illustrate the potential for long-term changes to land, such as those caused by agriculture, fire, drought, and rising atmospheric CO2, to impact ocean regions downwind of continents through multiple mechanisms.

3.2 Intensification of the land wake by increased CO2

Temperature increases due to climate change are occurring more quickly over land than over most ocean regions (IPCC, 2007), and the existence of the land wake provides a pathway for land’s response to climate change to influence ocean regions. Earth System Models (ESMs) participating in C4MIP produce a qualitatively similar WRH to that seen in reanalysis (cf. top and bottom rows of Fig. 1), with the ERA5 wake having a slightly larger area than the models (Table S1).

Increases in bgcCO2 and radCO2 each separately strengthen the land wake (Fig. 3). The spatially integrated magnitude of the relative humidity wake (MRH,Atlantic, see Methods) intensifies in response to both increased bgcCO2 and radCO2, with both driving similar magnitudes of change in M,Atlantic during summer (Fig. 4a,b). This intensification of the wake accompanies a large reduction of RH over continental North America during spring, summer, and autumn (Fig. 4), although the spring and autumn changes are less pronounced in the radCO2 experiment. There is a strong correlation across models ($r^2 = 0.72$) between the change in RH over North America and the change in the RH wake magnitude associated with increased bgcCO2, and a more modest correlation ($r^2 = 0.57$) for radCO2. These results support the hypothesis that changes in continental air
Figure 2. The climatological land wakes $W_Q$ (top) and $W_T$ (bottom), averaged from 1000-900 hPa, for DJF (left) and JJA (right), for ERA5 data averaged from 1979-2019. Arrows show climatological wind vectors averaged from 1000-900 hPa. The purple contour indicates the zero line, i.e. the contour of average Atlantic $q$ or $T$ for each latitude.
induced by the radiative and biogeophysical effects of CO$_2$ drive changes in the wake.

When radiative and biogeochemical effects of CO$_2$ are both considered (using the fullCO$_2$ simulations), the radCO$_2$ effects are seen to dominate the spatial pattern change in the wake found in the fullCO$_2$ simulation (Fig. 3). However, the effects of bgcCO$_2$ contribute substantial changes to the wake magnitude (Fig. 4c). We would not expect the wake changes in the bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$ simulations to sum perfectly linearly to the fullCO$_2$ wake change because the results of the ΔbgcCO$_2$ simulations show the effect of bgcCO$_2$ in a low-CO$_2$ background climate, while the difference between the fullCO$_2$-radCO$_2$ simulations show the effect of bgcCO$_2$ in a high-CO$_2$ background climate. However, the full−rad wake response is qualitatively similar to the bgcCO$_2$ wake response in most regions, except in the Hudson Bay and Davis Straight areas (Figs. S4-S6). These differences are not the focus of our study, but we suspect they result from large differences in base-state Arctic climate with low vs. high CO$_2$.

### 3.3 Biogeophysical effects of CO$_2$ intensify wake through stomatal closure

We now focus on how the response of terrestrial evapotranspiration to increased bgcCO$_2$ impacts the wake. The summer response across the C-4MIP simulations to increased bgcCO$_2$ is a widespread reduction in terrestrial evapotranspiration (Fig. S7). The terrestrial biogeophysical effects of increased CO$_2$ produce a wake that is drier (i.e. $W_{RH}$ is anomalously low) over the coastal ocean in both summer and winter with increased bgcCO$_2$ (Fig. 3a, b). Note that though the RH wake becomes drier, specific humidity in the summer wake actually increases because of circulation changes, discussed below. While the horizontal extent of this change in the wake is smaller in summer, the peak intensity (defined by eq. 3) of this change is larger during that season. Although the definition of $W$ (eq. 1) encompasses the whole Atlantic, the change in $W_{RH}$ is primarily the result of changes near North America, with little influence from the zonal-mean change (Figs. S2,S7; see Methods for further discussion).

In summer, continental $RH$ decreases as a result of reduced continental evapotranspiration driven by the stomatal response to increased bgcCO$_2$, but the influence of these continental changes on the RH wake is more complex than a simple downwind transport of low-$RH$ air. The reduced continental evapotranspiration causes lower continental $q$ as well as higher continental $T$ due to reduced latent cooling of land (see land regions in Figs. 3b and S7b,d). Downwind transport of high-$T$ air over the wake region drives an intensification of the RH wake, but this is partially opposed by an increase in $q$ over much of the western Atlantic (Fig. S7b,d). Because continental $q$ decreases with increased bgcCO$_2$, the downwind transport of lower $q$ continental air cannot explain the summer increase in $q$ in the wake region. Summer ocean evaporation also does not change substantially in the wake region (Fig. S8). Instead, the increase in summer $q$ over the western Atlantic is due to a change in atmospheric circulation. The continental warming resulting from reduced evapotranspiration induces an anomalous low-level cyclone centered over the eastern edge of the continent, bringing warm, moist air from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean northward along the coast of North America (Fig. S9). The transport of moisture into the wake region by this anomalous circulation damps the temperature-induced intensification of the RH wake. The combination of the opposing effects of the $T$ and $q$ changes on RH produces a slight intensification of the RH wake with increased bgcCO$_2$ across all models (Fig. 5).

The drivers of winter $W_{RH}$ change are different than those in summer. In winter, there is little change in continental $RH$,
Figure 3. Change (high - low CO₂) in the RH land wake for the difference of the end-start of the C4MIP simulations where only the carbon cycle sees increased bgcCO₂ (top), only radiation sees increased radCO₂ (middle), and both bgc and radiation see increased CO₂ (bottom) for winter (left) and summer (right). Negative values indicate a “drying” (lower RH) of the wake. Hatching indicates where less than 7/9 models agree on the sign of the response. The change in RH [%] is shown over land, with change in the RH Wake [%] over the ocean. Wake values and continental RH are averaged from the surface to 900 hPa. Vectors show climatological winds averaged from 1000 to 900 hPa.
Figure 4. The relationship between the change in continental RH and the magnitude ($M_{RH} = \text{footprint} \times \text{intensity}$) of the relative humidity wake for high - low CO$_2$ where (a) only bgc, (b) only radiation, and (c) both bgc and radiation experience the $\Delta$CO$_2$, where negative values mean a lower relative humidity. Continental RH is averaged from the surface to 900 hPa over land areas from 25°-65°N and 125°-55°W. Individual models are each identified with their own marker, while the large circles show the multi-model mean; colors indicate season. The black dashed line shows the line of best fit to all points; the slope and $r^2$ value for all points and the points in each season are noted below each panel. Note the axis ranges differ across panels.

but $W_{RH}$ still intensifies (Fig. 3). Rather than being driven directly by downwind transport of anomalous continental RH, the reduction in winter $W_{RH}$ is due to increased continental temperatures, which are caused by a darker land surface resulting from reduced snow cover and increased winter leaf area (Figs. S7, S10). The darkened land surface absorbs more shortwave energy, leading to warming of the surface and overlying atmosphere in the winter.

3.4 Radiative effects of CO$_2$ intensify wake through continental warming

The radiative effects of enhanced CO$_2$ intensify $W_{RH}$ in both winter and summer, but with spatial patterns and magnitudes that differ greatly from those caused by the biogeoophysical effects of CO$_2$ (Fig. 3). The intensification of $W_{RH}$ with increased radCO$_2$ results from the radiatively driven increase in land surface temperatures (Fig. S11). In contrast to increased bgcCO$_2$, which has the largest impact on temperatures over land, increased radCO$_2$ drives widespread warming over both land and ocean, with stronger warming than bgcCO$_2$; the hotter atmosphere has a greater evaporative demand and causes increased terrestrial evapotranspiration in many regions (Fig. S11). This response of evapotranspiration is not large enough to fill the enhanced vapor pressure deficit that drives it, so the large increase in land temperatures produces a decrease in summer continental RH and an intensification of $W_{RH}$. As in the bgcCO$_2$ case, $q$ increases in the region where $W_{RH}$ intensifies as a result of anomalous poleward winds over the western Atlantic, but for radCO$_2$ this poleward flow is part of an anomalous anticyclone centered over Florida and the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. S9). On its own, this increase in $q$ would lead to a weaker $W_{RH}$, while the increase in $T$ would lead to a strong intensification of $W_{RH}$ (Fig. 5). The final result is a modest intensification of the wake as a result of
Figure 5. Summer RH wake intensity change decomposed into contributions from changes in $T$ and changes in $q$ in the region of the RH wake intensification with increased (a) bgcCO$_2$ and (b) radCO$_2$, where positive values correspond to higher (moister) RH and negative values correspond to lower (drier) RH. Panels c & d show the change in the RH wake from the low CO$_2$ wake value in for each model, for bgcCO$_2$ and radCO$_2$ respectively.
Figure 6. Change in summer low cloud fraction (left, %) and downwelling shortwave radiation at the surface (right, W/m²) with increased bgcCO₂. Hatching indicates where less than 6/8 models agree on the sign of the response. “Low clouds” are considered to be clouds from the surface to 700 hPa for models that report cloud fraction on pressure coordinates, and from the surface to 3000 m for models that report cloud fraction on height coordinates.

While the peak magnitude of the wintertime $W_{RH}$ change is more than twice as large for radCO₂ than for bgcCO₂, the wake change induced by bgcCO₂ occupies a larger spatial area (c.f. Figs. 3a, c). In summer, the radCO₂ wake changes are largest in Hudson Bay and the Gulf of Mexico, while for bgcCO₂ the changes occur largely along the eastern seaboard of Canada and the USA, suggesting that future plant changes driven by the biogeophysical effects of CO₂ will dominate changes in the wake from Florida to Newfoundland.

3.5 Implications for coastal clouds and radiation

Increased CO₂ drives changes not only in coastal $R_H$, but in coastal cloud cover and radiation. With increased bgcCO₂, summer low cloud cover decreases along the full length of North America’s east coast, from the Gulf of Mexico to Hudson Bay (Fig. 6a). The reduced cloud fraction occurs year-round, with some seasonal changes in structure; cloud loss in the Gulf of Mexico is largest in winter (not shown), while cloud loss in Hudson Bay is largest in summer. Co-located with the reduction in low cloud cover is an increase of roughly 3 W/m² in downwelling shortwave radiation (Fig. 6b). Coastal low cloud cover is also reduced as a result of increased radCO₂, though for that forcing the total change in downwelling shortwave radiation at the surface is complicated by the response of clouds and other absorbers to the radiative effects of CO₂ (not shown).

4 Discussion

The reduction in coastal cloud cover with increased bgcCO₂ is notable as the North American east coast is host to large human population centers and important marine ecosystems, in an area already prone to episodic clear-sky coastal events (Fig. S12). The changes in clouds directly alter the amount of sunlight reaching the ocean surface in these high-productivity coastal areas;
while these regions are largely nutrient limited, they also experience seasonal light limitation (Arteaga et al., 2014) and have high chlorophyll concentrations (Gregg et al., 2005). Thus, the response of the terrestrial carbon cycle to increased bgcCO₂ could, by altering downwelling shortwave radiation in the land wake region, impact ocean biogeochemistry.

Independent of its effects on ocean biology, increased ocean surface shortwave radiation also has implications for sea surface temperatures. While these changes in coastal cloud fraction and downwelling shortwave radiation are modest in magnitude, they represent an as-yet unidentified mechanism through which terrestrial processes—i.e. the plant response to bgcCO₂—can alter ocean processes by causing atmospheric change.

The biogeochemically induced changes in the land wake presented here represent a new mechanism of regional climate change. The radiative effects of increased atmospheric CO₂ on atmospheric water vapor and cloud cover have been widely examined, yet continue to be a large source of uncertainty for future climate projections (Ceppi et al., 2017; Held and Soden, 2000; Kärcher, 2017; Lohmann, 2006; Sherwood et al., 2010; Stephens, 2005; Wood, 2012). The land wake represents a non-local climate response driven by the advection of air carrying a continental signature over ocean regions. This is distinct from changes in terrestrial climate driven by plant responses to CO₂ (e.g. Swann et al. (2016); Zarakas et al. (2020b)) or vegetation change (e.g. Kleidon et al. (2000)), and distinct from large scale circulation-inducing ecoclimate teleconnections (e.g. Garcia et al. (2016); Laguë (2016); Swann et al. (2012)).

The remote influence of continental biogeophysical and biogeochemical changes on oceanic air likely involve a related set of complex processes, with the changes in coastal temperatures and humidities coupled to the Plank, water vapor, and lapse rate feedbacks (Lagüé et al., 2021; Soden and Held, 2006). These processes merit further exploration, in the context of both forced long-term climate change and ongoing interannual variability.

Future study could also explore how extreme continental drought, heat waves, irrigation, and agriculture impact the land wake downwind of North America and other continents, and how terrestrially-driven atmospheric changes over ocean regions downwind of the continents impact ocean processes and productivity. The sensitivity of the wake to land-atmosphere coupling has yet to be explored. We might expect changes in terrestrial processes in regions with weak land-atmosphere coupling (e.g. as defined in Koster et al. (2006)) to have less impact on the continental wake than changes in terrestrial regions with strong land-atmosphere coupling. Further study should focus on which continental regions have the strongest control on the continental wake.

While this study focused on the Atlantic wake east of North America, similar mid-latitude wakes exist off the east coasts of Asia and South America (not shown). Asymmetries in RH also occur at lower latitudes, co-located with the subtropical cloud decks; further study is required to determine the relative contributions of ocean upwelling, atmospheric subsidence, clouds, and continental advection in these climatically important regions. Reanalysis data could be leveraged to explore the interannual variability of the wake and determine its sensitivity to large-scale climate modes of variability.
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### Table S1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wake Area (Low CO₂)</th>
<th>Wake Area (High CO₂)</th>
<th>Δ Wake Area (High-Low CO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>DJF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgcCO₂ Multi-model Mean</td>
<td>5.39 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>3.80 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>5.38 × 10⁶ km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radCO₂ Multi-model Mean</td>
<td>5.47 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>3.82 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>4.88 × 10⁶ km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fullCO₂ Multi-model Mean</td>
<td>5.48 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>3.80 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>5.05 × 10⁶ km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA5 1979-2019 Mean</td>
<td>6.16 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td>4.25 × 10⁶ km²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absolutel wake area and change in wake area between years 1-20 (Low CO₂) and years 121-140 (High CO₂) of the 1pctCO₂-bgc, 1pctCO₂-rad, and 1pctCO₂-full simulations. Wake areas for the 1979-2019 ERA5 mean are also shown.
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Figure S1. Time series showing the CO$_2$ concentration each year in the 1pctCO2 simulations, with CO$_2$ increasing 1% per year for 140 years. CO$_2$ starts at 280 ppm (pre-industrial levels) and reaches 1116 ppm (roughly 4x pre-industrial levels) in year 140. The average CO$_2$ in the first 20 years (“low CO$_2$”) is 308 ppm while the average CO$_2$ for years 121-140 (“high CO$_2$”) is 1017 ppm.

Figure S2. Change in the RH (top), q (middle), and T (bottom) fields (not the wake) in winter (left) and summer (right) for high-low bgcCO$_2$ in the C4MIP simulations. Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign. The zonal mean over the Atlantic Ocean is shown next to each map, with the multimodel mean in black and individual models in grey.
Figure S3. Change in the RH (top), q (middle), and T (bottom) fields (not the wake) in DJF (left) and JJA (right) for high-low radCO$_2$ in the C4MIP simulations. Hatching indicates where less than $6/9$ models agree on sign. The zonal mean over the Atlantic Ocean is shown next to each map, with the multimodel mean in black and individual models in grey.
Figure S4. Change in the RH wake due to the bgc effects of CO$_2$ from the fullCO$_2$-radCO$_2$ simulations (top), and the bgcCO$_2$ simulations (bottom) for winter (left) and summer (right). Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.
Figure S5. Change in the specific humidity wake due to the bgc effects of CO$_2$ from the fullCO$_2$-radCO$_2$ simulations (top), and the bgcCO$_2$ simulations (bottom) for winter (left) and summer (right). Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.
**Figure S6.** Change in the temperature wake due to the bgc effects of CO$_2$ from the fullCO$_2$-radCO$_2$ simulations (top), and the bgcCO$_2$ simulations (bottom) for winter (left) and summer (right). Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.
Figure S7. Change in the $q$ (top) and $T$ (bottom) wakes with increased bgcCO$_2$ are shown over the ocean, while changes in ET (top) and $T$ (bottom) are shown over land. Winter changes are shown in the left column while summer changes are shown in the right column. Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.
Figure S8. Change in surface latent heat flux in DJF (left) and JJA (right) for high-low bgcCO$_2$ (top) and radCO$_2$ (bottom) in the C4MIP simulations. Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.

Figure S9. Change in winds for high-low bgcCO$_2$ (left) and radCO$_2$ (right) averaged from the surface to 900 hPa. The anomalous cyclonic circulation brings warm, high moisture content air from the south up along the east coast of the continent into regions with climatologically cooler, drier air.
Figure S10. Change in snow cover (top), LAI (middle), and land albedo (bottom) in DJF (left) and JJA (right) for high-low bgcCO$_2$ in the C4MIP simulations. Note that only 7 of the C4MIP models are used here (models where snow information was available: CNRM-ESM2-1, ACCESS-ESM1-5, IPSL-CM6A-LR, MIROC-ES2L, UKESM1-0-LL, MPI-ESM1-2-LR, CESM2). Hatching indicates where less than 5/7 models agree on sign.
Figure S11. Change in the $q$ (top) and $T$ (bottom) wakes with increased radCO$_2$ are shown over the ocean, while changes in ET (top) and $T$ (bottom) are shown over land. Hatching indicates where less than 6/9 models agree on sign.
Figure S12. Terra/MODIS satellite image from NASA Worldview showing a cloud-free band of oceanic air downwind of the North American east coast. Date: Dec. 27, 2020. Source: https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/?v=-110.17945652585333,17.05090683269548,-39.0207911550563,54.952779290613066&t=2020-12-27-T14%3A00%3A00Z